

Original article

The in vitro research of bacterial invasion into prosthetic vascular grafts: Comparison of elastomer-sealed and gelatin-coated Dacron vascular grafts

Yuki Sasaki, MD

Division of Cardiovascular Surgery, Department of Surgery, School of Medicine, Faculty of Medicine, Toho University

Address correspondence to: Yuki Sasaki, MD

Division of Cardiovascular Surgery, Department of Surgery, School of Medicine, Faculty of Medicine, Toho University

6-11-1 Omori-nishi, Ota-ku, Tokyo, 143-8541 Japan

Telephone number: +81-3-3762-4151 (ext. 6540); FAX number: +81-3-3766-7810

E-mail address: yuki09091360542@yahoo.co.jp

Running Title: Research of bacterial invasion into grafts

Key Words: graft infection, bacterial invasion, elastomer-sealed Dacron vascular graft, gelatin-coated Dacron vascular graft, *Pseudomonas aeruginosa*

Abstract

Purpose: To investigate the process of bacterial invasion from the surface to the inside of prosthetic vascular grafts.

Methods: Elastomer-sealed (ESDVG) and gelatin-coated (GCDVG) Dacron vascular grafts were cut into 6-cm segments and placed in a U-shaped configuration in culture plates.

Physiological saline was poured inside the grafts, and suspension of *Pseudomonas aeruginosa* was added to outside. Samples taken from insides of grafts at nine time points up to 60 hours were spread on agar and then bacterial colonies were analyzed. The grafts were also examined using scanning electron microscopy (SEM).

Results: The contaminated vascular graft models of 18 ESDVG (group T) and 12 GCDVG (group G) were produced. Bacterial counts inside vascular grafts in both groups increased over time. Bacterial colonies were confirmed in all samples from group G by 30 hours, whereas bacteria appeared inside grafts in group T at various time points between 0 and 60 hours.

Bacteria were undetectable in one model of group T throughout the study. SEM revealed that the elastomeric membrane in ESDVG was uneven.

Conclusion: Bacterial invasion into vascular grafts should not always occur immediately after contamination. ESDVG may become more resistant to bacterial invasion as it has a thicker and evenly enriched elastomeric membrane.

Introduction

Infection of a prosthetic vascular graft is an extremely serious complication.

Lethal systemic sepsis will result from bacterial invasion into vascular grafts if treatment is delayed. Thus, factors involved in the infectivity of prosthetic vascular grafts should be determined from bacterial adherence¹ and invasion. Regarding bacterial adherence to the vascular grafts¹ and antibiotic-coated grafts,^{2,3} some manuscripts have been already published as *in vitro* and *in vivo*⁴ study. The present study investigates bacterial invasion from the outer surface to the inside of vascular grafts using a new experimental system *in vitro* to determine relationships between time required for invasion and amount of bacteria inside the grafts. Graft fragments were also examined using scanning electron microscopy (SEM).

Besides, classical gelatin-coated Dacron vascular grafts (GCDVG) and new elastomer-sealed Dacron vascular grafts (ESDVG) were compared to determine the effects of bacterial invasion on different types of materials. Information about graft infectivity should help to treat this refractory complication and avoid implanted graft excision.

Methods

The Committee for Biosafety and Biosecurity at Toho University School of Medicine (Tokyo, Japan) approved the study protocol.

Experiments involving virulent bacteria proceeded at a laboratory of the Department of Microbiology and Infectious Diseases (School of Medicine, Faculty of Medicine, Toho University, Tokyo, Japan) under the guidance of the appropriately qualified staff.

Prosthetic vascular grafts

Straight, 8-mm diameter ESDVG (Triplex®, Vascutek Terumo, Tokyo, Japan) and GCDVG (Gelweave®, Vascutek Terumo, Tokyo, Japan) were cut into 6-cm segments.

Model bacterial strain and suspension

The model bacterium was *Pseudomonas aeruginosa* (*P. aeruginosa*) strain PAO1 (ATCC 15692) because of its motility and ability to thrive in physiological saline.

P. aeruginosa frozen at -80°C was spread on LB agar and incubated for 18 hours at 35°C.

Resulting bacterial colonies were transferred into 30 mL of LB broth with a sterile loop and incubated for 24 hours at 35°C. Thereafter, 3 mL of the LB broth containing *P. aeruginosa* was centrifuged at 8000 rpm for 5 min and then the sediment was resuspended in 30 mL of physiological saline and used as the bacterial suspension.

The bacterial concentration of the suspensions before experiments was confirmed to be approximately 1.0×10^8 colony forming units (CFU) /mL by spreading 10 μ L of each properly diluted bacterial suspension on LB agar and counting the colonies manually after 18-hour

incubation at 35°C.

Bacterial suspensions were maintained by shaking at 160 rpm at 35°C until use.

Study 1: Relationship between amount of time required for invasion and density of bacteria inside vascular grafts

Models of contaminated vascular grafts

The 18 ESDVG (group T) and 12 GCDVG (group G) models of contaminated vascular grafts were produced as follows (Fig. 1). Prosthetic vascular grafts cut into 6-cm segments were placed in a U-shaped configuration in six-well culture plates (FALCON[®], Becton, Dickinson and Co., Franklin Lakes, NJ, USA). Sterile physiological saline (2 mL) was poured inside the grafts and bacterial suspensions (5 mL) were added to the area outside the grafts. The plates were covered and incubated at 35°C until the end of the study.

Sampling and bacterial counting

Samples (50 µL) collected from the inside of the grafts using micropipettes were appropriately diluted, spread on LB agar and then incubated at 35°C for 18 hours. Bacterial colonies were then manually counted.

Samples were collected every six hours for 36 hours, and then at 48 and 60 hours from the inside, and at 0, 24, 48 and 60 hours from outside the grafts. Bacterial counts are expressed as CFU/mL and were converted into log CFU/mL for statistical analysis. The limit of bacterial

detection was 2.0×10^1 CFU/mL.

Statistical analysis

Arithmetic data were expressed as means \pm standard deviation and were statistically analyzed using JMP 9.0.3 (SAS Institute Inc., Cary, NC, USA). Bacterial counts inside and outside vascular grafts at each time point between two groups were evaluated using the Mann-Whitney U-test. The amount of elapsed time before bacteria appeared inside vascular grafts was determined from Kaplan-Meier curves and then values were compared between groups using the log-rank test. A P-value of < 0.05 was considered to indicate a statistically significant difference.

Study 2: Observations of prosthetic vascular grafts by SEM

An S-3500N Scanning Electron Microscope (Hitachi Ltd., Tokyo, Japan) was used to examine the structures of fresh ESDVG and GCDVG and determine the degrees of invasion of the graft walls at various angles after incubation for 60 hours during Study 1 in suspensions of *P. aeruginosa*.

Sample processing for SEM

Vascular grafts were fixed in 2.0% glutaraldehyde for 48 hours, rinsed in physiological saline, fixed with 2.0% osmium tetroxide for two hours, irrigated with distilled water and then

dehydrated in a graded series of 50% to 100% ethanol. They were then placed in tertiary-butyl alcohol and dried in an ES-2030 critical-point dryer (Hitachi Ltd., Tokyo, Japan). Dried grafts were trimmed, mounted onto SEM slabs at various angles and sputter-coated with platinum-vanadium for 80 seconds using an Ion Sputter E-1030 (Hitachi Ltd., Tokyo, Japan).

Graft structures and degrees of bacterial invasion were investigated as described above in long-axis and short-axis views.

Results

Study 1.

Bacteria started to invade the GCDVG from six hours post-immersion, and all samples from inside GCDVG had generated bacterial colonies by 30 hours. The average elapsed time until bacteria appeared inside the GCDVG was 15.5 ± 7.0 hours.

Bacteria were identified inside two ESDVG immediately after immersion, and in one model at 60 hours. Bacteria remained undetectable in another one model throughout the study. The average amount of time taken for bacteria to become detectable inside ESDVG was 22.0 ± 19.7 hours.

Bacterial counts inside the grafts increased over time in both groups. Bacterial counts outside (Table 1) and inside (Table 2) vascular grafts at each time point did not significantly differ

between groups ($P > 0.05$, Mann-Whitney U-test).

The amount of time that elapsed before bacteria appeared inside vascular grafts was determined from Kaplan-Meier curves (Fig. 2). Values did not significantly differ between the groups ($P > 0.05$, log-rank test).

Study 2.

Figure 3A and 3B shows short-axis SEM views of sterile GCDVG and ESDVG, respectively.

The wall of the ESDVG comprised a central, low-porosity elastomeric membrane sandwiched between outer and inner layers of knitted Dacron.

Then, the elastomeric membrane was proved to be uneven on thickness and the thinnest portion was about $2\ \mu\text{m}$ (Fig. 4A). Besides, some defects were evident in the membrane (Fig. 4B).

The uneven thickness and defects in the elastomeric membrane might have been associated with the amount of time required for the bacteria to invade ESDVG.

SEM views of grafts inoculated with *P. aeruginosa* for 60 hours revealed bacteria in gaps between GCDVG fibers (Fig. 5A) and in the elastomeric membrane of ESDVG (Fig. 5B).

Discussion

The model bacterial species in this study was *P. aeruginosa* because its motility and ability to

exist in physiological saline rendered it suitable for the study purpose. Although it is not a typical bacterium about vascular graft infection, the reports of the infected aortic aneurysm and prosthetic vascular graft infection caused by *P. aeruginosa* are often recognized.⁵⁻⁷ Furthermore, the indications for both major aortic and peripheral vascular surgery have recently been extended to high-risk patients. Therefore, infection caused by *P. aeruginosa* is likely to increase and it has already become a serious issue, especially during vascular surgery.⁸ Thus, vascular graft infection caused by this organism should be investigated.

The new ESDVG used in the present study has an unique structure comprising a central elastomeric membrane sandwiched between two layers of high-porosity knitted Dacron.^{9,10} The elastomeric membrane consists of a highly flexible styrene polymer with a low-porosity material. The porosity of ESDVG is significantly lower than that of standard coated grafts, and thus prevents water leakage.⁹ Accordingly, I postulated that the ESDVG would be more resistant to bacterial invasion than standard coated vascular grafts when exposed to direct external bacterial contamination.

Then, I selected gelatin-coated woven Dacron vascular grafts to compare ESDVG. In clinical setting, gelatin-coated woven Dacron grafts are used more frequently than knitted Dacron grafts due to enlargement of diameter during the postoperative course.¹¹ Coated knitted Dacron vascular grafts are not used in our institution even for surgery of the abdominal aorta. The

present study investigated vascular grafts that are actually applied in clinical medicine.

Although no statistically significant differences were identified between the two types of grafts, the amount of time that elapsed before bacteria appeared inside ESDVG varied between 0 and 60 hours and SEM confirmed thin areas and defects in the elastomeric membrane of sterile ESDVG. These features might have been associated with the immediate appearance of bacteria inside the ESDVG. Thinner areas and defects in the elastomeric membrane might have facilitated bacterial invasion. If so, this could be prevented by thickening and enriching the elastomeric membrane.

Then, no bacterium had been confirmed in samples of inside GCDVG at 0 hour. According to experimental data presented by Terumo Corporation (Tokyo, Japan) which simulates 14 days of *in vivo* hydrolysis, gelatin remaining rates on the grafts are respectively about 100%, 90%, 80%, 70%, and 5% on day 0, 2, 4, 7, and 14. Though my experiment is *in vitro* basic research, time and amount of bacterial invasion into vascular graft would be a little affected by hydrolysis rate of gelatin sealant.

The present *in vitro* results also indicate that bacterial invasion into vascular grafts is not always immediate even if the grafts are located in a highly contaminated area. Besides, bacterial counts inside vascular grafts should increase over time. Therefore, prosthetic vascular grafts implanted in infected wounds should be drained and irrigated as soon as possible to avoid systemic sepsis

and graft removal in the clinical setting. Recent clinical efforts to preserve infected prosthetic vascular grafts have progressed. Dosluoglu and colleagues argue that patients with exposed grafts after inguinal wound dehiscence without systemic sepsis can be treated by graft preservation therapy such as negative pressure wound therapy (NPWT).¹² Under probable contaminated conditions such as traumatic disease or infected aneurysms, using vascular grafts should be resistant to bacterial invasion and ensuring sufficient irrigation, a suction drain insertion around the vascular grafts, NPWT at wound dehiscence should also help to prevent graft infection and systemic sepsis.

The present study suggests that evenly thickening and enriching the elastomeric membrane of ESDVG may improve their ability to resist or suppress bacterial invasion.

Furthermore, this is the first investigation to examine the mechanism of bacterial invasion into prosthetic vascular grafts.

Acknowledgments

This study was inspired and directed by Prof. Yoshinori Watanabe, MD, PhD (Division of Cardiovascular Surgery, Department of Surgery, School of Medicine, Faculty of Medicine, Toho University, Tokyo, Japan).

I am indebted to Prof. Kazuhiro Tateda, MD, PhD (Department of Microbiology and Infectious

Diseases, School of Medicine, Faculty of Medicine, Toho University, Tokyo, Japan) for providing the use of his laboratory and appropriate advice about this basic research.

I thank Soichiro Kimura, PhD (Department of Microbiology and Infectious Diseases, School of Medicine, Faculty of Medicine, Toho University, Tokyo, Japan) for technical advice.

I also thank Prof. Hiroshi Takyu, PhD (Department of Rehabilitation, Chubu Gakuin University, Gifu, Japan) for appropriate advises in statistical analysis.

This study was also supported by a grant from the Project Research Fund of School of Medicine, Toho University, Tokyo, Japan.

Conflict of interest statement

Yuki Sasaki has no conflict of interest.

References

1. Schmitt DD, Bandyk DF, Pequet AJ, Towne JB. Bacterial adherence to vascular prostheses, A determinant of graft infectivity. *J Vasc Surg.* 1986;3(5):732-40.
2. Kuehn C, Graf K, Mashaqi B, Pichlmaier M, Heuer W, Hilfiker A, et al. Prevention of early vascular infection using regional antibiotic release. *J Surg Res.* 2010;164:e185-91.
3. Fischer PE, Fabian TC, deRijk WG, Edwards NM, Decuypere M, Landis RM, et al. Prosthetic vascular conduit in contaminated fields: a new technology to decrease ePTFE infection. *Am Surg.* 2008;74:524-8.
4. Fischer PE, Schroepfel TJ, Fabian TC, deRijk WG, Edwards NM, Magnotti LJ, et al. Antibiotic-coated ePTFE decreases graft colonization and neointimal hyperplasia. *J Surg Res.* 2009;156:199-204.
5. Mazzalai F, Ragazzi R, Iurilli V, Toniato A, Da Giau G, Ballotta G. *Pseudomonas aeruginosa*-infected infrarenal abdominal aorta pseudoaneurysm secondary of endovascular stent graft treatment after primary open repair failed. *Can J Surg.* 2009;52(5):E193-4.
6. Terpling S, Schade Larsen C, Schonheyder HC. Long-term home-based parenteral antibiotic treatment of a prosthetic vascular graft infection caused by *Pseudomonas aeruginosa*. *Scand J Infect Dis.* 2006;38(5):388-92.
7. Cassart M, Gevenois PA, Knoop C, Antoine M, Vachiery JL, Leclerc JL, et al. *Pseudomonas*

aeruginosa aortic aneurysm after heart-lung transplantation for cystic fibrosis. *Transplantation*. 1994;58(9):1051-3.

8. Soderstrom M, Vikatmaa P, Lepantalo M, Aho PS, Kolho E, Ikonen T. The consequences of an outbreak of multidrug-resistant *Pseudomonas aeruginosa* among patients treated for critical leg ischemia. *J Vasc Surg*. 2009;50(4):806-12.

9. Tamura A, Yamaguchi A, Yuri K, Noguchi K, Naito K, Nagano H, et al. Clinical experience with a new vascular graft free from biodegradable material. *Interact Cardiovasc Thorac Surg*. 2011;12:758-61.

10. Tabata M, Shimokawa T, Fukui T, Manabe S, Sato Y, Takanashi S. New uncoated vascular prosthesis reduces mediastinal tube drainage after thoracic aortic surgery. *Ann Thorac Surg*. 2011;91:899-902.

11. Mattens E, Engels P, Hamerlijnc R, Kelder J, Schepens M, de Valois J, et al. Gelseal versus Gelweave dacron prosthetic grafts in the descending thoracic aorta: a two-year computed tomography scan follow-up study. *Cardiovasc Surg*. 1999;7:432-5.

12. Dosluoglu HH, Schimpf DK, Schultz R, Cherr GS. Prevention of infected and exposed vascular grafts using vacuum assisted closure without muscle flap coverage. *J Vasc Surg*. 2005;42:989-92.